Implementation of a Home-based Exercise Program for Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation Patients during the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic
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Abstract

Background: In pandemic times, social isolation is of utmost importance to minimize the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. At times like these, home fitness becomes extremely necessary to avoid sedentarism and decompensation in chronic disease patients.

Objectives: Evaluate the engagement of rehabilitation patients to a tele-oriented exercise program at home (TOEP).

Materials and Methods: 66 of 94 patients (63.8% males; 65.5±14 years old; 5.2±2.6 months in rehabilitation) agreed to take part. Subjects were grouped in three levels of functionality to guide the exercise prescription. Weekly Training Rates (WTR) were compared using the Friedman test and corrected by Dunn’s test. A multivariate logistic model was designed to determine independent predictors in program engagement. Statistical significance was determined by a p<0.05.

Results: TOEP provided WTR similar to the pre-quarantine values of 2.8/week (p>0.05), and 91.8% of patients took part until the end of those 5 weeks. The presence of diabetes was a predicted factor for low engagement to TOEP with relative risk of 0.41 (CI95%: 0.25 to 0.66).

Conclusion: TOEP provided satisfactory engagement in rehabilitation patients. Most of them increased WTR during quarantine. Patients with diabetes displayed lower engagement to the minimum standard frequency.
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Introduction

Ever since infectious disease transmission mechanisms became known, social isolation has been a strategy to control most epidemics.1 This strategy has been adopted against 2019 SARS-CoV2 pandemic in most countries worldwide, with varied rigorlessness depending on the region. What seems to be unanimous is the directive for total social isolation for the elderly and chronic disease patients. The reasons behind this recommendation are the ever-so-great number of recent publications pointing out the unfavorable evolution of COVID-19 among this population. Wu and McGoogan analyzed more than seventy thousand cases of COVID-19, reporting that the mortality rate by COVID-19 was 10.5% for cardiovascular disease patients and 6% for hypertensive patients.2 These rates were higher than the 2.3% rate noticed in the same sample by the general population. In the same publication, death rate was 8 and 14.8% for individuals between 70 and 79 years old and over 80 years old, respectively. Patients with cancer presented an even higher risk of morbimortality.3

Home-based rehabilitation strategies with or without remote monitoring have already been classified as an urgent matter with regard to patients’ engagement in rehabilitation programs.4 Telemedicine strategies have
been used with this purpose. A meta-analysis including 11 studies concludes that it is at least as effective as a center-based rehabilitation in improving modified risk factors and aerobic capacity.

In pandemic times, keeping chronic disease patients at home with their compensated illnesses is an extremely important clinical and epidemiological measure. Physical exercise becomes imperative in this process. Given the circumstances, remote-based guiding and surveillance strategies supported by technological resources are the only possible tools. This study aims at evaluating the engagement of center-based rehabilitation patients in a tele-oriented exercise program at home (TOEP).

**Materials and Methods**

**Sample**

On March 18th, immediately after Health authorities declared social isolation as a sanitary measure to control the pandemic, our rehabilitation center suspended its face-to-face activities. All patients taking part in different kinds of rehabilitation programs were invited to join the Exercise Centre. A total of 94 patients were invited (63.8% men, mean age: 69.0 [58.7; 75.0] years old, and median time at rehabilitation: 5.3 [2.9; 7.4] months). Patients displayed different comorbidities, such as cardiovascular disease, lung disease, cancer, and diabetes. Some of those patients were fragile elderly with sarcopenia or other muscle-skeleton conditions and prehabilitation.

The sudden closing of all establishments made it necessary a fast implementation of a strategy that would enable patients to keep up with the rehabilitation regimen. The proposal should be effective in providing physical activities and either improve or, at best, maintaining patients’ physical aptitude. It was also necessary to be easily accessible, so that patients could immediately perform their activities at home, using minimum resources, in a safe way, to ensure no harm to the physical integrity, as well as to respect their level of autonomy and the previously evaluated physiopathological limitations. Lastly, it was of utmost importance that the strategy was sustainable, due to an undetermined staff reduction and limited working hours, while preserving their safety.

**Exercise prescription**

To make the program viable to all patients, the exercise team elaborated different plans for all three levels of functionality, considering falling risks, degree of autonomy, and patient-training experience (Figure 1). Once the plans were elaborated, to better adapt to the exercises, patients were analyzed one by one and then classified in accordance with plans A, B, and C. Some patients who required specific attention beyond the three plans were allocated in a special plan (SP). Based on the Rockwood et al. protocol, patients’ individual frailty level was verified after group distribution.

Exercises were prescribed using body weight, whole body, or most of the muscle groups. Isolated upper-body exercises were not prescribed due to the difficulty of performing them without additional equipment. The number of exercises, the execution (stable positioning), the use of support and the speed to execute were differentiating issues between plans.

Training load progression was based on volume increase during the weeks, being adjusted by changing the number of repetitions, bout duration and number of series in each session or adjusting recovering interval between series in each suggested band (Figure 1).

Demonstrative videos were recorded to help patients understand each exercise. Each exercise plan was designed to last 30 to 45 min. Patients with access to ergometers or open spaces would be instructed to choose a free complementary aerobic exercise such as a 10-30-minute additional walk. To maintain low intensity, we used as reference anaerobic Heart rate predetermined in a cardiopulmonary exercise test or effort subjective perception <5 in a 0-10 scale.

**Surveillance**

Patients were distributed among team professionals, considering one professional assigned to each patient as a reference to contact during social isolation. This professional was in charge of communicating daily with their patients, introduce the program, address doubts, and make themselves available for remote supervision, whenever necessary. A flow of communication was established, where each professional should send their patients a standardized initial message, introducing themselves. Once participation in the program was confirmed, exercise plans A, B, C, or SP were sent with specifications according to each case, as well as explanatory exercise videos. These professionals were responsible for keeping in touch with patients, three times a week, through text messages or email, with a conference call every fifteen days. Weekly reports were
added to the database system, stating the number of exercise sessions done in the past week, as well as a brief well-being and symptom evaluation. Since most patients were in the COVID-19 risk group, in case any of them displayed COVID-19 symptoms, exercising would be immediately suspended, and an assistant doctor would be notified.

Complementary assistance

In addition to three doctors and two physical educators in the staff for exercise prescription and control, the program also involved two nutritionists and a psychologist for further complementary assistance. These professionals were able to offer regular online support to patients. Besides physical training, healthy eating behavior and stress control are of utmost importance in these times. Therefore, patients were offered additionally a “healthy entertainment” program, with live videos, where the team of professionals tackled topics regarding food storage and re-use, as well as eating behavior during isolation, exercise recommendation classes, and meditation and mindfulness workshops, aiming at helping to control anxiety.

Statistical analysis

The data distribution was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables related to the characteristics of the population were expressed in median and interquartile interval and compared through U-Mann-Whitney test. Age comparison and frailty between training plans was made using the Kruskal-Wallis test. The categorical variables were expressed in percentage and compared through chi-square test or Fisher exact. The variations in weekly training rate (WTR) pre-quarantine and along the five-week TOEP were compared using the Friedman test. Pairwise comparison post-hoc between weeks was made by Dunn’s test. Variations in attendance were tested considering a WTR ≥3 per week throughout the weeks and compared by the McNemar test.
It was considered satisfactory engagement WTR≥3 per week in at least 4 out 5 weeks of training. A multivariate logistic regression model was created to determine independent engagement predictors containing age and variables with an error probability alfa ≤10% in the univariate analysis (chi-square and Mann-Whitney U). The statistical significance was defined by an alfa <5% probability error. The statistical analysis was conducted using a SPSS program (SPSS 22.0 for Windows, IBM SPSS, IL, US). This research was approved by the Hospital Federal Cardoso Fontes ethical and research committee under the protocol 36149020.6.0000.8066 and 4.258.930. All the procedures are in accordance with the Helsinki declaration 1975, updated in 2013.

Results

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the sample and engagement rate by gender and clinical conditioning. By comparing individuals who participated or not, there was no difference in age, gender-prevailing illnesses and training characteristics. Frailty was the only different variable, with participants frailer than the non-participants.

The distinction between patients in exercise plans A, B, and C enabled effective distinction according to age and patient functionality (Table 2). Individuals classified as plan C were significantly younger than the others. With regard to frailty, group distribution provided the expected distinction, confirmed by the analysis of the Rockwood scale\textsuperscript{10}, so there was no overlapping in the group distribution.

TOEP had 91.8% engagement among participant patients. With respect to WTR, in the face-to-face physical training program previously adopted by patients, the average was of 2.7±0.1 sessions per week (Figure 2). Since the implementation of home-based exercise program, the same previous average of 2.8±0.2 sessions of weekly training were kept. It was possible to notice no evolution each week. In the beginning, there was a reduction in WTR followed by a rise in the second week, for values higher than the previous average, which was sustained until the end.

WTR≥3 trains per week was observed in 27.9; 77.0; 60.7; 67.2 and 72.1% of participants in weeks 1 and 5, respectively. Variations were significant between weeks 1 and 2 (p<0.001) and weeks 2 and 3 (p=0.007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 - Sample characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angioplasty (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILD (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frailty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation time (months)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: the author (2020). DM: Diabetes Mellitus; AMI: Acute Myocardial Infarction; CABG: Coronary Artery Bypass Graft; COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; ILD: Interstitial Lung Disease; WTR: Weekly Training Rate; &: Chi-square test; #: Fisher exact test; *: Mann-Whitney-U test
Table 2 – Age and frailty comparison among exercise groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Special Plan</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>74(71;78)</td>
<td>74(68;78)</td>
<td>54(46;63)</td>
<td>64(52;66)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frailty</td>
<td>6(6;6)</td>
<td>8(7;8)</td>
<td>9(8;9)</td>
<td>8(7;8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


While comparing the fifth week with the previous training period (pre-quarantine) 29.5% of patients reduced 13.1% kept and 57.4% had a significant increase in WTR.

Multivariate analysis is show on Table 3. DM was the only independent predictor of engagement equal or higher than three training sessions per week within at least 4 weeks in the program. Figure 3 illustrates the evolution of WTR in stratified patients through the presence/absence of DM.

Discussion

In this study, after moderated engagement in the remote rehabilitation program (63.9%), participants’ involvement was considered good. By the end of the fifth week, 91.8% kept in touch with the program. Several rehabilitation centers interrupted their face-to-face activities during the pandemic and invited their patients to join remote rehabilitation programs, but in the light of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first publication that describes patient engagement and commitment to the home-based tele-oriented exercise program.

The highest multicentric register so far (1,653 patients with heart failure) reported an engagement of 85.6% right at the beginning of the program. At the end of the 24 weeks, only 55% of the patients kept in touch with the telemonitoring system. Stampehl et al. presented that at the end of 31 days, there were 66.7% of participant patients...
Table 3 – Predictors of more than 4 weeks with minimum 3 training sessions/week

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Univariate RR (IC95%)</th>
<th>Multivariate RR (IC95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>0.99 (0.61; 1.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≤65 y/o</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
<td>53.1%</td>
<td>0.95 (0.55; 1.65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>53.1%</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
<td>0.95 (0.55; 1.65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>0.41 (0.25; 0.66)</td>
<td>0.097 (0.02; 0.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>1.62 (0.69; 3.85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
<td>1.68 (0.50; 5.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angioplasty</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
<td>1.25 (0.60; 2.41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
<td>1.44 (0.55; 3.80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
<td>1.25 (0.49; 3.20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILD</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>54.2%</td>
<td>0.91 (0.22; 3.76)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
<td>0.90 (0.45; 1.80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frailty</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
<td>0.90 (0.45; 1.80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation time &lt; 3 months</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>55.8%</td>
<td>0.90 (0.52; 1.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


engaged in the program. Unfortunately, the DIAL study, which included 1,518 patients with heart failure, excluded physical exercise from its engagement criterion.

Although engagement criteria and monitoring plan may vary across studies, in both cases a larger rate of engagement has been related to a lower number of events in this population.

Beyond the satisfactory engagement rate, the main finding in this study is the efficiency in keeping patients exercising. A 2.9 days per week WTR average was observed, with more than 70% of patients exercising more than 3 days a week after 5 weeks of quarantine, which can be considered a good result. Besides, more than half of the patients exercised 3 or more days/week in 4 out of 5 weeks, and 57.4% were exercising more than they did before isolation. Some studies have already evaluated the rate of exercising during telemonitored home-based rehabilitation sessions. Kraal et al. and Laustsen et al. after 12 weeks of training, reported WTR 2±0.6 and 2.6±0.5 days/weeks, respectively. Another group has published a similar result of WTR 2.5±0.3 days/weeks within 12 weeks using a cell phone based exercise plan.

The presence of diabetes was the only independent predictor of exercise engagement within the analyzed period. Only 18.8% of the patients with diabetes were able to exercise 3 times a week in 4 out of 5 weeks. The low engagement to exercise programs by patients with diabetes in different countries has been known for years, and their rate vary around 60% of inactivity after adjustments. Reasons for that include difficulty to engage and fatigue, among others. Many studies have used telemedicine exercise plans to boost physical activity engagement in patients with diabetes. Duruturk and Özköslü performed a random study including 44 patients with diabetes where participants were offered a 6-week home-based exercise program (an average of 2.66 sessions per week), with the support of a physiotherapist by video-conference. Participants not only showed significant improvement in fitness, but also improved glycemic control and reduced symptoms of depression.

Diabetes is a risk factor for COVID-19 mortality. Thus, we believe that isolation may be recommended for a longer period for this population, even after flexibilization may reach the general population. On the other hand, sedentarism and low physical exercise engagement are risk factors for the development of macro and microvascular events in patients with diabetes.

The difficulty for full individualization of TOEP exercise prescriptions may be a limiting factor for its efficacy. However, TOEP was a fast-solution plan in order to keep patients engaged in physical activities, while allowing them to manage their clinical conditions. To evaluate patients’ points of view regarding the program would help understand its efficacy. This type of analysis demands the use of mixed methods of investigation. Considering the urgency of the program, there was no available time to develop an evaluation tool that could reflect perception and individual satisfaction.

Moreover, to ensure efficacy, it would have been necessary that patients go through a pre- and post-intervention for cardiopulmonary performance. In these regards, the exercise plan has data of previous evaluations for each patient and will soon be reevaluated. WTR is the main measure of attendance and was based on patients’ personal feedback. Pre-pandemic frequency was based on the program in which patients were enrolled, and not in attendance.

**Conclusion**

In this small sample, the development of a fast-tracking remote rehabilitation program, comprised by center-based rehabilitation concepts and data, was well-received by the participants. The exercise plans were adequate, and patients were able to maintain good exercise rates after 5 weeks of quarantine. Patients with diabetes showed lower engagement and deserve special attention in future exercise plans. In pandemic times, developing efficient and safe tele-rehabilitation plans must be regarded as a scientific urgent matter.
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