Renal Sympathetic Denervation Using a Novel Device: A Clinical Case Discussion and Literature Update
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Introduction

Resistant hypertension (RH) is directly related to increased mortality, severe renal changes, and cardiac and cerebrovascular diseases, due to lack of adequate drug treatment.1 Cardiovascular disease mortality increases progressively and linearly as BP increases, whereas BP lowering is associated with significantly reduced risks.2-5 Increased sympathetic tone in renal arteries is one of the major components of RH, and catheters have been developed using several technologies which allow for radiofrequency ablation (RA) and blood pressure (BP) reduction. The discussions and controversies about the effectiveness of this treatment are quite broad, especially when associated with the use of new devices. In this clinical case study, with an 18-month follow-up, we describe the development of knowledge regarding this technique and its evidence basis.

Clinical Case

Male patient, 48 years old, with uncontrolled RH, under treatment with seven antihypertensive drugs at maximum tolerated daily doses (Atenolol 100 mg, Furosemide 80 mg, Hydrochlorothiazide 25 mg, Spironolactone 25 mg, Hydralazine Hydrochloride 200 mg, Methyldopa 1000 mg and Telmisartan 160 mg). Office blood pressure remained above 185/105 mmHg and mean arterial pressures measured by Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring (ABPM) were 186/120 mmHg over the total period, 193/127 mmHg while awake, and 174/107 mmHg during sleep. All major causes of secondary hypertension (primary hyperaldosteronism, pheochromocytoma, aortic coarctation, Cushing syndrome, hyperthyroidism, renal parenchymal disease, renal artery stenosis, obstructive sleep apnea syndrome) were excluded by biochemical, graphic and imaging exams. In late November 2017, the patient was admitted due to failure to obtain adequate BP control. In that occasion, complementary exams, such as electrocardiogram, echocardiogram and renal function, did not reveal abnormal findings.

Renal Sympathetic Denervation (RSD) was indicated due to the ineffectiveness of medical treatment and the change of habits to which he was submitted. The procedure was performed on November 17, 2018.

The Symplicity Spyral® catheter (Medtronic, Galway, Ireland) (Figure 1) was used, which allows for multiple simultaneous radiofrequency (RF) ablations in the renal artery.

We opted for conscious sedation with benzodiazepine and venous opioid, to avoid intense visceral pain, caused by RF ablation, and possible patient mobilization. The angiographic image showed anatomy favorable for RSD, with adequate-caliber major arteries and bilateral branches, and absence of accessory branches (Figure 2).

Access to the renal arteries was facilitated by an angioplasty guidewire, which allowed for the placement of the Symplicity Spyral catheter, whose helical pattern conforms to the lumen, taking the shape of these branches, and delivering RF energy simultaneously to
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all 4 quadrants for 60 seconds. After that, ablations were performed in the main renal arteries of both kidneys (Figure 3). We performed 27 RF ablations in all segments of the renal arteries, with effective treatment of 59 sites, with 11 ablations being applied to the left renal artery, with 25 sites effectively treated, and 16 ablations to the right renal artery, with 34 sites effectively treated. Control arteriography did not reveal angiographic complications. There were no hemorrhagic complications at the puncture site. The contrast volume of the procedure was 200 ml and the procedure time was 88 minutes.

During hospitalization, the patient remained asymptomatic and was discharged after 24 hours, with no complications and BP at stable normal levels. For 18 months, he evolved well, with a decrease in office and ABPM BP. The mean ABPM values over the total period were 125/79 mmHg in the 2-month follow-up, 149/97 mmHg in the 8-month follow-up, and 147/196 mmHg in the 18-month follow-up (Figure 4). The laboratory tests, the antihypertensive therapy and the labor activity profile, without physical activities, remained unchanged.

**Discussion**

Systemic Arterial Hypertension (SAH) is the most prevalent disease worldwide, affecting between 30 to 40% of the population in developing countries, with serious consequences in the long term. Even a small reduction in BP levels results in significant gains regarding cerebrovascular complications. Uncontrolled RH is defined as BP ≥ 140/90 mmHg in individuals under treatment with at least three antihypertensive medications at maximum tolerated daily doses, when secondary causes are excluded. It is estimated that 2-16% of people with hypertension are resistant. According to data from the Ministry of Health, the prevalence of hypertension in
Figure 3 – Symplicity Spyral (Medtronic) Catheter with a helical configuration in the renal artery.

Figure 4 – Evolution of BP by ABPM 1 year before RSD, and within 2, 8 and 18 months after the procedure.
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the Brazilian population is 24.7%. With an estimated adult population of 86 million inhabitants, Brazil has at least 400,000 patients with RH.\textsuperscript{5}

In general, resistance is multifactorial, and it is the main cause of medication non-adherence.\textsuperscript{6} Factors associated with health habits, such as sedentary lifestyles, increased sodium and alcohol intake, as well as the use of medication like non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, also contribute to treatment resistance. Even after correction of all these factors, BP levels remain elevated in a subgroup of patients. The sympathetic nervous system has a major role in this condition, and increased sympathetic tone is one of the main components of RH. The efferent innervation of the central nervous system arises from the second sympathetic ganglion and reaches the kidney through a network of fibers that spread across the renal artery adventitia. These fibers stimulate the Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System (RAAS), eventually resulting in sodium and water retention. The final product of this system, angiotensin II, is a potent vasoconstrictor that decreases renal blood flow and contributes to increased systemic blood pressure. Afferent innervation carries feedback from the kidney to the hypothalamus, completing and perpetuating the cycle.\textsuperscript{7,8} In the 1950’s, surgical sympathectomy, with the removal of abdominal and lumbar nerve plexuses, presented significant results in decreasing blood pressure, but with high morbidity and mortality rates.\textsuperscript{9} Recently, the extension of renal artery sympathetic innervation has been demonstrated, with a predominance of sympathetic nerve fibers closer to the lumen in the distal part of the arteries.\textsuperscript{10}

**Initial Studies**

Studies on the safety of the ablation of sympathetic innervation using intra-arterial devices with several types of action mechanisms, i.e., RF RSD, began in 2009, and it was the most widely used technology. The Symplicity HTN 1\textsuperscript{11} trial was the first human study to confirm the safety of the method, followed by the randomized Symplicity HTN 2 trial.\textsuperscript{12} Both studies showed a significant and sustained reduction in SBP (about 25 mmHg) and few complications, which led to expectations about the long-term benefits of the method for reducing cardiovascular complications.\textsuperscript{12} The Symplicity HTN-3 trial, conducted in the United States, randomly assigned 535 patients with RH (ratio 2:1), comparing RSD with the control procedure group (CPG). The latter consisted of patients submitted to renal arteriography only, with no therapeutic intervention, in order to eliminate the placebo effect. The result of the primary endpoint (a decrease of 5 mmHg in systolic BP within six months) was negative, although there was a significant reduction in systolic BP in both groups.\textsuperscript{13} Basically, four factors account for the lack of success of the SYMPLICITY HTN-3 trial, and the main one refers to the inadequate technique used, in which only 6% of the patients received a four-quadrant ablation in both arteries. The study was performed using the Symplicity Flex\textsuperscript{®} catheter (Medtronic, Galway, Ireland), with a monopolar distal electrode. The device was difficult to manipulate, and required the use of more radiation and contrast to perform RF ablations in several points. For this reason, most investigators performed only one or two procedures. Other factors associated with failure were: medication changes (up to 40%) in the post-procedure period in both groups, the inclusion of patients with isolated systolic SAH, and the African American group, in which the drop in BP was more evident in the sham group compared to the RSD group.\textsuperscript{14} Simultaneously, the Global SYMPLICITY Registry (GSR), a multicenter registry which assessed 1,199 patients during 3 years, showed an important reduction in SBP (ABPM – 8.0 mmHg and Office -16.5 mmHg), without impairing renal function.\textsuperscript{15} The French randomized study DENERHTN, which compared RSD with optimized antihypertensive therapy, presented expressive results, with a reduction in SBP of ∼5.9 mm Hg (∼11.3 to ∼0.5; p=0.0329).\textsuperscript{16} These studies did not compare RSD with the CPG, which limits the validation of their findings. The identification and correction of the factors that resulted in the failure of SYMPLICITY HTN-3, combined with the success of RSD in other studies, and the development of a novel quadripoar Symplicity Spyral catheter (Medtronic, Galway, Ireland), which allows for simultaneous circumferential ablation with access the branches fostered this evolution of Symplicity Spiral. This easier-to-use device allows for more ablation, because it reaches the four quadrants of the artery, simultaneously and safely. RF energy delivery is also predictable, with a shorter ablation time compared to the previous device. At first, a limiting factor would be less penetration of RF (an average of 4 mm). However, since the nerves are located closer to the lumen in the arteries, most of them are accessed by
the device. Another limitation of this device is related with the anatomy of the arteries. The distal segment of the catheter, where the electrodes are located, adapts to lumen diameters ranging from 3 to 8 mm. Accessory arteries and branches with smaller lumen diameter cannot be accessed and, therefore, represent a possible cause of treatment failure, since they are also innervated.

**Post Symplicity HTN-3 Studies**

Based on these observations, other studies were conducted. The Sypral-HTN OFF-MED trial, performed with this new device, was conceived as proof of concept to assess the biological viability of RSD. A total of 80 patients with mild-to-moderate SAH (BP <180 mmHg), in the absence of antihypertensive medication evaluated by laboratory testing, were randomly assigned (1:1) to either RSD or CPG. The results within 3 months showed a positive effect of RSD, with a decrease in ABMP SBP and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of -5.0 (p=0.0414) and - 4.4 (p=0.0024) mmHg, and a reduction in office BP of -7.7 (p=0.0155) and - 4.9 (p=0.0077) mmHg, respectively, corresponding to the difference of what was obtained in the CPG.17 Later, the Sypral ON-MED trial, using the same device, included patients with the same epidemiological characteristics, but who were taking up to three antihypertensive agents. The result within six months was positive for RSD versus CPG, with a sustained drop in 24-hour ABPM SBP and DBP of –7.0 mmHg (p=0.0059) and – 4.3mmHg (p=0.0174), and a reduction in office SBP and DBP of – 6.6 mm Hg (p=0.0250) and – 4.2 mm Hg (p=0.0190).18

Simultaneously with these studies, the RADIANCE-HTN SOLO Trial of Ultrasound Renal Denervation (Paradise® system) (ReCor Medical, Palo Alto, CA, USA) was performed. A total of 176 patients with mild-to-moderate SAH off antihypertensive medication were randomly assigned (1:1) to either RSD versus CPG. Daytime ABPM SBP and DBP of –7.0 mmHg (p=0.0059) and – 4.3mmHg (p=0.0174), and a reduction in office SBP and DBP of – 6.6 mm Hg (p=0.0250) and – 4.2 mm Hg (p=0.0190).18

In a meta-analysis comparing the results of six first- and second generation randomized trials for RSD versus GPC, with 977 participants, Sardar et al.,22 observed significant SBP and DBP reductions, in both ABPM and Office BP, in RSD versus GPC, as well as low complication rates. The results were more expressive in the second-generation trials, in which the confounding factors previously described had been excluded.14,22

The proof-of-concept trials validated RSD from the biological point of view, and were not designed to obtain statistical power for positivity responses as their primary efficacy endpoint.

The SPYRAL HTN-OFF MED Pivotal multicentre, randomized trial assigned 331 moderate hypertensive patients off antihypertensive medication to either RSD (n=166) and CPG (n=165). With a Bayesian design, this trial used evidence from the SPYRAL HTN-OFF MED pilot trial. The primary efficacy endpoint was baseline-adjusted change in 24-h systolic blood pressure and the secondary efficacy endpoint was baseline-adjusted change in office systolic blood pressure from baseline within 3 months. The primary and secondary efficacy endpoints were met, with posterior probability of...
superiority more than 99% for both. The treatment
difference between the two groups for 24-h systolic
blood pressure was -3.9 mm Hg (Bayesian 95% credible
interval -6.2 to -1.6) and for office systolic blood
pressure the difference was -6.5 mm Hg (-9.6 to -3.5).
The study showed the superiority of RSD compared
to a sham procedure, which ultimately corresponds
to the clinical treatment group.23

In a meta-analysis of 5,769 subjects submitted
to RSD, Townsend et al.,24 describe renal artery
dissection requiring stenting in 24 patients (0.41%).
Most events (79%) occurred in the in-hospital phase
or within the first year of follow-up. Thus, the need
for post-treatment intervention is not common and the
procedure is considered very safe.24

The Brazilian Position Statement on Resistant
Hypertension – 2020 describes that: “based on
this evidence, RSD is currently an alternative
only for patients with UC-RHTN with optimized
pharmacological treatment and proven therapeutic
adherence or with important drug-related adverse
effects, to be always performed at referral centers trained
for the procedure”.25

We managed to demonstrate that, using the same
techniques prescribed in the studies, similar positive
results were obtained. This young patient with RH,
treated with RSD, presented excellent results within
eighteen months. After a significant initial drop in
BP (-61 / -41 mmHg) within 2 months, there was
BP stabilization at higher levels, but still with a very
significant reduction (-39 / -24 mmHg) in relation to
baseline after 18 months, and clear benefits regarding
cardiovascular complications.2 The significant reduction
in BP may be related with the placebo effect or with the
increased baseline BP prior to procedure.26

The changes in patient selection and technique,
and the results of recent randomized trials bring new
perspectives into the treatment of RH, whose pressure
control is inadequate, despite all the available drug
armamentarium. The most robust randomized trials
have already shown the biological validity and clinical
utility of RSD. Real-world registries indicate that the
ideal patients for receiving this technique are those at
high cardiovascular risk, mainly young, and with very
high SBP and DBP levels.15

In addition, it is important to highlight some
encouraging studies that have applied RSD in patients
with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction27,
Chagas Disease28, atrial29 and ventricular malignant
arrhythmias30, sleep apnea31 and changes in glucose
metabolism32, i.e., pathologies that include increased
sympathetic tonus in their genesis.33

Conclusion

We present the case of a young, uncontrolled
hypertension resistant patient submitted to sympathetic
renal denervation using the Symplicity Spyral RF system
with sustained results in 24-hour ABPM BP within 18
months. We approached RH and the several available
interventionist treatment techniques. We opted for the
use of the the aforementioned device especially due
to the excellent results presented in clinical trials, in
addition to the low complication rates registered in all
the studies presented.
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