Acute Blood Pressure Response to Different Resistance Programs in Trained Men
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Abstract

Background: Resistance training is used in different exercise programs, with different objectives and different levels of physical fitness. Training-related variables, such as volume, rest time and intensity, can affect the response of blood pressure (BP), but studies on the effect of these variables on BP are still needed.

Objective: To evaluate the acute response of BP in trained individuals undergoing two different methods of resistance training.

Methods: The sample was divided into three groups: (1) the German volume training (GVT) (n= 15), which consisted of 10 series of 10 repetitions at 50% of 1-repetition maximum (RM) with intervals of 30 seconds; (2) the sarcoplasm stimulating training (SST) (n= 16), performed at 8 RM and 85% of 1-RM and interval of 10 seconds until failure, followed by removal of 20% of weight and repetition of the whole series (total of three sets), and the control group (CG) (n= 15) who underwent BP measurements only. The two-way repeated measures ANOVA was used for analysis of variations, and a p< 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: In the within-group analysis, a significant lowering of systolic blood pressure (SBP) was found at 10 minutes (125.4±10.8 mmHg, p= 0.045) and 20 minutes (124.5±8.5 mmHg, p= 0.044) post-training compared with immediately after training. In the between-group comparison, higher SBP values were observed immediately after training in the SST group (142.1±28.2, p= 0.048) compared with the CG.

Conclusion: High-volume and high-intensity resistance training programs did not cause abnormal changes in blood pressure. (Int J Cardiovasc Sci. 2021; 34(3):294-299)
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Introduction

Aerobic and resistance exercises are broadly used for the maintenance and rehabilitation of cardiovascular health, and seen as one of the main non-pharmacological strategies for the prevention and treatment of systemic arterial hypertension (SAH). Resistance training (RT) was long considered a mere auxiliary tool of aerobic exercises for cardiovascular health rehabilitation, particularly for promoting musculoskeletal gain, but today, evidence suggests the efficacy of RT in modestly lowering blood pressure (BP) levels.1 3

This phenomenon, known as post-exercise hypotension, has an important role in the control of blood pressure and cardiovascular risks, not only in hypertensive but also in normotensive individuals.1 3 The effect of RT on reducing blood pressure levels can prevent the development of SAH in normotensive individuals.4 However, there are can affect the response of BP during RT, such as exercise volume,6 7 intervals between series8 9, training methods10 and intensity.11

Blood pressure response to exercise intensity and volume is not well established.12 Studies have shown that moderate- and high-intensity exercises can lower BP levels and influence the duration of the hypotensive effect, but not its magnitude.9 11 With regard to the volume of exercise, while some reports indicate that the
higher the volume of training, the longer the hypotensive effect, others have suggested that high- and low-volume training have similar effects on BP.11

More advanced methods of RT have been developed over years, such as the German volume training (GVT)13-15 and the sarcoplasm stimulating training (SST),16,17 but no effect of these programs on BP have been reported so far. Thus, the objective of this study is to assess the acute response of BP to different methods of RT in trained individuals.

**Methods**

**Study design and sample**

This trial included male individuals aged 20-40 years, non-smokers, with at least one year of experience in RT. This was a convenience sample of 46 men, which was divided into three groups: SST (n= 16), and GVT (n= 15) and control group (CG) (n= 15).

The exclusion criteria were cardiometabolic diseases, use of medications that may influence the cardiovascular response, musculoskeletal disorders, and individuals who could not undergo the training protocol.

Participants were recruited through social media posts, flyers and telephone calls made to bodybuilding gyms in the city of Salvador, Bahia State, Brazil. Individuals were allocated consecutively in one of the groups, and were informed about the aims of the study, the exercise protocols and training methods. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Bahian School of Medicine and Public Health (EBMSP) (Certificate of Presentation for Ethical Consideration, CAAE, number 57573516.7.0000.5544). All participants signed an informed consent form.

**Anthropometric measures**

On the day before exercise, participants were instructed to refrain from high-intensity exercise, alcohol, caffeinated and energy drinks, and sleep from six to eight hours. On the study day, they were instructed to wear appropriate clothing and eat light meals in the period of two hours before the training.

Body weight and height were measured using a digital scale Welmy® (W200A, Brazil), and subsequently body mass index (BMI) was calculated.

**Blood pressure measurements**

An automatic sphygmomanometer - Microlife® (MAM BP3AC1PC, Brazil)- was used. The individuals rested in a supine position for five minutes, to stabilize pressure levels. After five minutes, blood pressure was measured in the pre-training stage, in a supine position, with head slightly tilted, in a quiet environment with a room temperature between 23°C and 25°C and dim light.18 BP was then measured immediately after training, and every 10 minutes during the 50-minute rest period subsequently.

**The one-repetition maximum test (1-RM)**

The 1-RM test was used to determine the maximal weight each participant could lift with one repetition. First, the maximal number of repetitions of a given load (percentage of 1-RM) was determined of each participant. For this purpose, participants were first familiarized with the equipment and exercises to be performed. Then, there was a 3-5 minute warm up by performing repetitions of the muscle group to be tested using a light load. After a one-minute interval, participants performed three to five repetitions of a moderate-to-heavy load (60% to 80% of predicted 1-RM).

After an interval of two minutes, participants performed repetitions of the load close to the maximum estimated. After performing two-to-three additional repetitions of exercises for the upper limbs, the weight increased by 5 to 10% of predicted 1-RM. Based on these adjustments, the test would be repeated after an interval of three to five minutes. An attempt was considered valid if the test was performed according to the standardized instructions; if more than three attempts were needed, there was a one-day interval for repetition of the test.19

**Training Protocol**

**German volume training**

Participants performed 10 series of 10 repetitions, with an intensity of 50% of 1-RM, with a 30-second rest interval between the series. The test was conducted using leg press 45° (LP 45°) and bench press (BP).14,20

**Sarcoplasm stimulating training**

The SST session consisted of eight repetitions (of 85% of 1-RM) to failure, i.e., inability to complete a
full concentric repetition. After a 10-second interval, another set, using the same weight, was performed, so that the participant could make a higher number of repetitions. When a failed attempt occurred, there was an interval of 10 seconds.

Subsequently, 20% of the weight was removed; participants made another series until failure, followed by one repetition. Then, another 20% of the weight was removed and the procedure was repeated. The machines used were LP 45° and BP.

The control group underwent BP measurements and did not participate in any of the exercise protocols.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) software for Windows, version 14.0 was used for the statistical analysis. Continuous variables were described in average and standard deviation, and data normality was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The independent t-test was used for comparison of 1-RM averages and total weight training between the GVT and SST groups. The one-way ANOVA was used for comparison of mean age and BMI between the groups, and for within-group comparisons of the effect of exercise on systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP) (pre- vs. post-intervention). Then, the two-way repeated measures ANOVA was used for comparisons in the pre-and post-intervention periods.

The Bonferroni post-hoc test was used to identify significant differences, at the level of 5%.

**Results**

The characteristics of the sample are described in Table 1. No difference was observed in mean age, BMI or 1-RM (BP and LP 45°) between the groups. However, the total weight of training (LP 45° and BP) was significantly greater in the GVT than in the SST group (p = 0.001).

Data of SBP and DBP in the pre- and post-training periods are shown in Table 2. In the analyses of SBP, it was observed a significant lowering of SBP in the GVT group at 10 minutes (p= 0.045) and 20 minutes (p= 0.044) compared with immediately after training (0 minute). No difference was observed in any of the other time points. No difference was found for DBP in the GVT or SST groups.

Figure 1 shows the comparison of mean SBP and DBP between the three groups in all time points analyzed. Immediately after training, SBP was significantly higher than controls (p= 0.048); no other significant differences were found.

**Discussion**

The findings of this study showed that the different groups of trained individuals had different acute BP responses to exercises. The within-group comparisons revealed that, in the GVT groups, there was a significant rise in SBP immediately after training compared with 10 minutes and 20 minutes post-exercise.

In a study that compared the effect of different volumes of strength training on BP showed that a higher volume of training caused a more prolonged post-exercise hypotension. Our results reinforce these...
Table 2 – Results of within-group comparisons of mean systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure levels in the pre- vs. post-training in the German volume training (GVT, n=15), sarcoplasm stimulating training (SST, n=15) and control (n=15) groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-training</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CG (n=15)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP mmHg</td>
<td>125.2 ± 7.3</td>
<td>124.6 ± 6.1</td>
<td>126 ± 5.4</td>
<td>125.1 ± 7.9</td>
<td>124.7 ± 7.6</td>
<td>126.5 ± 6.3</td>
<td>127.9 ± 12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP mmHg</td>
<td>69.1 ± 5.9</td>
<td>67.9 ± 5.8</td>
<td>69.1 ± 6.4</td>
<td>68.3 ± 4.7</td>
<td>71.5 ± 6</td>
<td>70.8 ± 7.9</td>
<td>71.7 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GVT (n=15)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP mmHg</td>
<td>127.7 ± 9.4</td>
<td>137.8 ± 16.2*</td>
<td>125.4 ± 10.8*</td>
<td>124.5 ± 8.5*</td>
<td>126.7 ± 6.9</td>
<td>123.3 ± 8.8</td>
<td>124.9 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP mmHg</td>
<td>70.9 ± 9.2</td>
<td>72.5 ± 13.6</td>
<td>68.5 ± 8.2</td>
<td>67.2 ± 5.3</td>
<td>68.6 ± 7.5</td>
<td>65.3 ± 9.5</td>
<td>66.5 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SST (n=16)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP mmHg</td>
<td>124.7 ± 9.2</td>
<td>142.1 ± 28.2</td>
<td>126.2 ± 10.5</td>
<td>126 ± 10.7</td>
<td>122.8 ± 10.5</td>
<td>123.1 ± 10.9</td>
<td>122.4 ± 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP mmHg</td>
<td>72.1 ± 6.8</td>
<td>78.4 ± 19.7</td>
<td>66.6 ± 7.4</td>
<td>69.7 ± 9.2</td>
<td>68.5 ± 9.7</td>
<td>67.5 ± 7.9</td>
<td>67.6 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CG: control group; GVT: German volume training; SST: sarcoplasm stimulating training; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; mmHg: millimeters of mercury; * p<0.05 compared to immediately after training.

Figure 1 – Comparison of mean systolic blood pressure and mean diastolic blood pressure levels between the German volume training (GVT, n=15), sarcoplasm stimulating training (SST, n=15) and control (n=15) groups

CG: control group; GVT: German Volume Training; SST: Sarcoplasm Stimulating Training; mmHg: millimeters of mercury; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; * p<0.05 compared with the control group.
findings, since only GVT, which is an exercise program that involves sets and repetitions with high weights, showed significant hypotension after training. Our data differ from those previously published by Neto et al., who found similar responses of BP to trainings with different volumes. However, the total training volume in our study was much higher than the total volume used in their study, which may explain the differences in the results.

Between-group analysis showed significantly higher SBP values in the SST immediately after training compared to the control group. However, despite this rise in BP levels, the higher intensity of the SST method (compared with the GVT) was not able to promote a significant lowering of BP during the post-exercise recovery period. This contrasts with previous studies reporting hypotensive effects of different resistance training intensities. Our data confirm the results of a study that evaluated the effect of different intensities of exercise, and showed that not only low-intensity exercise (40% of 1-RM), but also the ones with high intensity (80% of 1-RM) caused systolic arterial hypotension in the post-exercise period. However, we found a significant lowering of SBP only for the GVT method, which was performed at 50% of 1-RM (i.e., low intensity).

The highest levels of SBP in the period immediately after training seen in the SST method can be justified by the high intensity and short interval between series (10 seconds vs 30 seconds for the GVT method). Thus, there was no time for recovery of pressure levels to start a new series, leading to higher cardiovascular stress. A clinical trial that compared the response of BP to different rest interval lengths showed that a two-minute interval could be more effective regarding post-exercise hypotension. Although our trainings had shorter duration, the significant lowering of BP after the GVT may be explained by a longer rest interval between the series.

Another hypothesis for the significantly rise in SBP levels immediately after the SST was the occurrence of fatigue in most of the repetitions. This is in line with the study by Polito and Farinatti, who noticed that the highest BP elevations occurred when fatigue was reached after all repetitions. Higher values of SBP was observed in the SST compared with the GVT group; in fact, the SST proposes that individuals perform the series until fatigue, which differs from the GVT, where fatigue occurred mostly in the last repetitions.

Regarding DBP, no significant effect by any of the methods was found. DBP levels remained below the pre-training levels until the end of the analysis period. This result contrasts with the study showing that exercise with lower intensity would lower DBP for a short period, while exercises with higher intensity would not change acute DBP responses.

One of the limitations in our study refers to the measurement of BP in the supine position. This is supported by the study that evaluated the influence of posture on the recovery of BP and heart rate after resistance training in normotensive individuals. The study found greater hypotensive responses in the sitting position during recovery than in the supine position. However, more studies are needed to support this hypothesis.

Our study group was composed of young male trained, normotensive adults. More studies are needed including female, older and hypertensive individuals. It is worth highlighting that studies on GVT and SST are still scarce in the literature, and that this is the first study to investigate the acute effects of these trainings on BP.

### Conclusion

There was a significant lowering of SBP at 10 and 20 minutes after the GVT compared with the immediate post-training. The between-group analysis showed significantly higher SPB levels in the immediate post-training in the SST group compared with control group. High-volume RT of high-intensity-RT did not cause abnormal changes in BP.
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