Athletes Health during Pandemic Times: Hospitalization Rates and Variables Related to COVID-19 Prevalence among Endurance Athletes
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Abstract

Background: The SARS-COV2 pandemic has deeply affected the availability for training and competing for recreational and professional athletes. However, to date, the disease’s course among the athletic population has not been studied.

Objectives: To compare the observed and expected rates of hospitalization for COVID-19 and to establish relationships between demographics and sportive characteristics of an athletic sample, and the COVID-19 infection rate.

Material and Methods: This study uses cross-sectional data sampling through an online questionnaire to collect data from recreational and professional athletes. Based on self-reports, athletes were grouped in COVID-19 and Non-COVID-19 cases. To decrease detection bias for each four patients who reported being hospitalized, one additional virtual patient was added to the sample. The observed rate of hospitalization (ORH) was compared with age expected rate of hospitalization (ERH) from the literature data. A multivariate model (MM) was developed to establish independent relationships between the prevalence of COVID-19 cases and the variables mentioned above. The statistical significance level was defined for a p-value<0.05.

Results: Answers from 1,701 individuals were analyzed. The COVID-19 group was comprised of 99 (5.8%) individuals, four of whom reported having been hospitalized. ORH and ERH were respectively of 5.0% and 18.1% (p=0.001). In the MM female gender (OR=2.02, 95% CI 1.28 to 3.19), cycling (OR=2.91, 95% CI 1.58 to 5.39), swimming (OR=2.97, 95% CI 1.14 to 7.74), and triathlon (OR=2.10, 95% CI 1.13 to 3.91) were independently associated with a COVID-19 prevalence.

Conclusion: Self-reported rates of hospitalization for COVID-19 among athletes were much lower than expected. The prevalence of positive cases of COVID-19 was independently higher for cyclists, triathletes, and swimmers than for runners. (Int J Cardiovasc Sci. 2021; 34(3):274-283)
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Introduction

Even before the SARS-COV2 pandemic had been declared by the World Health Organization (WHO), professional and recreational sportive events had already been cancelled worldwide. For the first time since the World War II, the Tokyo 2020 Olympic Games is at the top of this long list.1

Not only was competitive athletic life compromised, but the adoption of social distancing as countermeasure for SARS-COV2 spread, deeply affecting their availability to train due to the closing of indoors sport facilities and, in some places, even the prohibition of any kind of outdoor workout.2,3

While this paper is being written, the total number of COVID-19 cases worldwide has already surpassed...
6 million individuals, with almost 400,000 deaths. The USA is the most highly affected country (more than 1.8 million cases), followed by Brazil (more than a half million cases).\textsuperscript{4} Scientific production on COVID-19 has also increased as never before. More than 4,000 papers have already been published in the first five months of 2020, registered under the medical subheading “coronavirus infection”.\textsuperscript{5} Among these are a wide range of reviews, opinions, and letters, which approach the effects of the pandemic among athletes. However, to the best of our knowledge, no original article has addressed this subject so far.

There is a biological plausibility that athletes cardiorespiratory fitness is a protective feature against severe forms of COVID-19.\textsuperscript{6-8} By contrast, the high intensity exercise usually performed by endurance athletes increases the rates of upper respiratory tract infection (URTI).\textsuperscript{9} In this new environment, we do not know if one of these two statements will prevail.

Sports organizations worldwide have been discussing the right moment to return to their competitions.\textsuperscript{10} Good practice protocols are being developed. Pre-participation screening for post COVID-19 athletes has also been published.\textsuperscript{11-13} The knowledge used in the establishment of these guidelines stems from experts’ opinions, scarce information about previous coronavirus outbreaks, and some analogies made with other viral respiratory infections. To date, no specific knowledge has been developed.

Understanding the course of COVID-19 among the athletic population is of utmost importance in order to guide decision-making at individual and community levels, regarding exercise and sportive activities.

The primary goal of this research is to compare the observed and the expected rates of hospitalization for COVID-19 in an athletic sample.

The secondary goal is to establish relationships between demographics and sportive characteristics of an athletic sample, and the COVID-19 infection rate.

Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional data sampling (snowball sampling)\textsuperscript{14} was started by initially 78 out of 152 seeds, comprised of athletes and coaches belonging to the authors’ (B.F. and E.G.) personal relationship. A google form link was sent by WhatsApp messaging, with a note explaining the importance of taking part in the survey and asking receivers to share it with other athletes and coaches. Every five days another 20 seeds were reached using the same process until the required number of COVID-19 cases was met. As soon as the target sample size was reached, the sending of the form was discontinued.

The questionnaire was developed specially for this survey, using simple language, and took 5 to 7 minutes to be fully completed. Before the first question, individuals had to read and accept the informed consent form. The first question was “Are you an endurance athlete? We consider an endurance athlete to be an individual who performs such sports as running, cycling, rowing, canoeing, triathlon, swimming, and workout 3 or more times per week with the goal of improving his sports performance”.\textsuperscript{15,16} Only the response “Yes” allowed the participant to continue the questionnaire. After some demographic and sports practice questions, individuals were sent to COVID-19 questions. The first one was “Did you have COVID-19?”. Three possibilities of answer were offered: “Yes, confirmed by laboratorial tests”; “No, I did not”; or “Maybe, I had COVID-19-like symptoms but didn’t get to test for it”. The latter option was followed by the question “In the list below, choose all the symptoms you presented”. The list of symptoms represented the COVID-19-like symptoms score created by the Mozambique ministry of health and adapted by a Brazilian university.\textsuperscript{17} The score is composed by the sum of the positive signs and symptoms: fever (5 points), headache (1 point), coryza and sneezes (1 point), sore throat (1 point), dry cough (3 points), breathlessness (10 points); malaise (1 points); diarrhea (1 point); loss of smell (3 points); any contact with individual previous diagnosis for COVID-19 (10 points). Three outputs were possible: High suspicion (≥20 points), Moderated suspicion (10 to 19 points), and Low suspicion (≤9 points).

The COVID-19 group consisted of athletes with reported laboratorial confirmation for COVID-19 and those with highly suspicious symptoms. All the others were included in the Non-COVID-19 group. The reason to include cases without reported laboratorial confirmation is the low availability of tests in Brazil.

Except for those who reported not having COVID-19, all others were guided to the question “Were you hospitalized for COVID-19?”. For those who answered “Yes”, the next question was “Were you admitted to the Intensive Care Unit?”. As self-filling questionnaires were not able to detect lethal cases, we estimated based on local and literature
data an in-hospital mortality of 20%. Considering the same mortality rate among athletes, to decrease this sampling bias, for every 4 patients who reported having been hospitalized, one additional virtual patient was added.

Based on the Center of Disease Control (CDC),\textsuperscript{19} for each individual, a probability of hospitalization was attributed, based on the age group he belonged to. The lower limit of confidence interval was used to avoid the overestimation of an expected hospitalization rate. Thus, the following expected rates of hospitalization were used: 1.6% for <20 years old (yo), 2.5% for 20-29 yo, 14.3% for 30-39 yo, 20.8% for 40-49 yo, 21.16% for 50-59 yo, and 22.4 for ≥60 yo. The average value for the COVID-19 group was the expected hospitalization rate. Two comparisons were performed: one for all COVID-19 groups and another only for the reported cases confirmed by a laboratory.

The prevalence for COVID-19 (positive tests and highly suspicious symptoms) were compared by gender, age group, Brazilian geographic regions, athletic level, and type of sport.

**Statistical Analysis and Ethics**

The sample size was calculated by estimating 10% and 20% for observed and expected hospitalization rates, respectively. A power of 80% and an alpha error of 5% were assumed, using a single proportion test based on the normal approximation to the binomial distribution.\textsuperscript{19} According to these parameters, a sample size of 86 COVID-19 cases was necessary.

Categorical variables were expressed as absolute values and percentages. Observed hospitalization rates were compared with the expected value (used as the null hypothesis value) by the test for one proportion. The 95% confidence interval (CI) for the observed hospitalization rate was calculated using three different techniques.\textsuperscript{20,21} To stress the difference between the observed and expected rates of hospitalization, a same size simulated sample was created using the expected number of COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 cases and compared using the Chi-squared test.\textsuperscript{22} All other proportions were compared using the Chi-squared or Fisher’s exact tests. CI for zero proportions were calculated according to the Hanley et al.\textsuperscript{23} method.

A multivariate logistic model was developed using positive a COVID-19 case as a dependent variable, and gender, age group, athletic level, sport, and geographic region as independent variables. Variables were included in the model when their p-value was ≤5% based on chi-squared statistics, except for the age group, which was included despite statistical significance because of its acknowledged epidemiological value.

Variables were considered statistically significant when having a p-value below 5%. All of the analysis was performed using an SPSS\textsuperscript{TM} version 22.0 for Windows\textsuperscript{TM} (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, IBM SPSS, IL, USA).

This research is registered in the National Committee for Ethical Research (CONEP), logged under protocol number 32179220.3.0000.5253 (https://plataformabrasil.saude.gov.br/login.jsf). Ethical approval for this study was obtained from Hospital Federal de Bonsucesso (approval number 4.054.651).

**Results**

Figure 1 represents the individuals included in the analysis. The questionnaire was released on May 10, 2020, and was closed in May 25, 2020, having collected 1,869 answers. After removing duplicate answers and missing data, 1,701 answers could be related to a single athlete. Among them 99 (5.8%) athletes were included in the COVID-19 group (70 laboratory-confirmed cases and 29 highly suspicious cases) and 1,602 (%) in the non-COVID-19 group. Twenty of the 26 states and federal district were represented in this sample (Figure 2). Table 1 shows the distribution of gender, athletic level, age group, sport, and country’s geographic region for all athletes, the COVID-19 group, and the non-COVID-19 group.

For the hospitalization analysis, as four athletes reported having been hospitalized (one cyclist and three triathletes), one virtual case was added to the analysis, thus achieving the rate of a 5% need for hospitalization among athletes. Among them 99 (5.8%) athletes were included in the COVID-19 group (70 laboratory-confirmed cases and 29 highly suspicious cases) and 1,602 (%) in the non-COVID-19 group. Twenty of the 26 states and federal district were represented in this sample (Figure 2). Table 1 shows the distribution of gender, athletic level, age group, sport, and country’s geographic region for all athletes, the COVID-19 group, and the non-COVID-19 group.

Even considering only the 70 laboratory-confirmed positive cases, the expected rate of hospitalization was significantly higher than that observed (Figure 3-B). A 2x2 Chi-square analysis comparing the observed and expected hospitalization rates showed significant differences either for the entire Covid-19 group (expected-observed=13.1%[95% CI 4.26 to 22.23%], p=0.003) as compared to the laboratory-confirmed cases (expected-observed=11.5%[95% CI 0.354 to 22.78%], p=0.046).
*For every four patients who reported having been hospitalized, one additional virtual patient will be added to decrease the bias of inability to detect fatal cases using an online survey.

Figure 1 – Individuals enrolled in the analysis

Figures 4 A-E represent the intragroup comparisons for COVID-19 prevalence. Differences were found by gender (4.8 and 8.1%, respectively, for males and females; \( p = 0.008 \)); geographic region (8.7, 1.4, 0.0, and 5.6, respectively, for the Southeast, South, Midwest, and North/Northeast; \( p = 0.003 \)) and sport (4.1, 8.0, 9.6, 1.4, 6.0, and 0.0%, respectively, for running, cycling, swimming, rowing or canoeing, triathlon, among others) but not for age group or athletic level.

Table 2 shows the multivariate analysis for COVID-19 prevalence. The male gender, southeast region, running and age group \( \geq 60 \) yo were used as reference categories for gender, geographic region, sport, and age group variables, respectively. After adjustment, the female gender (OR=2.02 95% CI 1.28 to 3.19), cycling (OR=2.91 95% CI 1.58 to 5.39), swimming (OR=2.97 95% CI 1.14 to 7.74), and triathlon (OR=2.10 95% CI 1.13 to 3.91) were independently associated with COVID-19 prevalence.

**Discussion**

In this research, the rate of observed hospitalization for COVID-19 was less than half of the expected rate. Cardiorespiratory fitness has already proven beneficial in myriads of diseases,\(^\text{24,25}\) and it could be used as immunity protection until a vaccine is developed.\(^\text{26}\) Regarding infectious diseases, exercise capacity is associated with reduction on morbidity and mortality in situations ranging from seasonal URTI\(^\text{27}\) to post-operative complications resulting from major surgeries.\(^\text{28}\)

Cardiorespiratory fitness has already shown benefits during viral outbreaks. Siu et al.\(^\text{29}\) demonstrated that
during 12 influenza seasons in Canada, moderately and highly active individuals younger than 65 yo had, respectively, 17% and 13% less outpatient visits for flu-like-symptoms than did their non-active counterparts. Wong et al. showed a 4.2% to 6.4% reduction in mortality by H1N1 and H3N1 influenza subspecies in the 1998 Hong-Kong outbreak.30

Despite the potential immunological infection windows described in athletes after high intensity training and competitions,31,32 increasing the rate of URTI, there is no report of associating it to hard clinical endpoints. Indeed, there is some evidence that viral illnesses have little impact on training availability.33,34

Master athletes also have immunological benefits and documented reductions in respiratory infection rates.35 Minuzzi et al. showed that senior athletes (mean age 53.2 yo) keep high cell and humoral post-exercise anti-inflammatory activity when compared to the controls.36 Therefore, in a wide range of performance levels and ages, being an athlete seems to decrease the risk of respiratory infections, such as COVID-19, by approximately 28%.26

The prevalence of COVID-19 cases were 2 times higher among female athletes. Previous studies have already shown gender differences in terms of URTI in the athletic population. He et al. demonstrated that the number of respiratory illness days was higher (4.7 vs 6.8 days, p=0.02) and the duration of these episodes
was longer (11.6 vs 15.5 days, p=0.03) in female than in male athletes. Although, the reasons behind it are not completely elucidated, it seems to be related to a decrease in oral-respiratory mucosal immunity.37

The differences in prevalence of COVID-19 positive case among sport modalities is a more complex subject. In this sample cycling, swimming and triathlon increased the odds of a COVID-19 case by 2 to 3-fold, when compared to running.

Nieman et al. compared the inflammatory and immunological response after three days of controlled overreaching between cyclists and runners, and their impact in the URTI rate.38 Despite a higher clinical and laboratorial muscle damage and inflammatory response, no difference was found either in terms of frequency or in terms of severity of URTI. Williams et al. analyzed a cohort of more than 150,000 runners and walkers.39 After a mean follow-up of 11.4 years, for each MET-hour/day increment in energy expenditure, a 10.5% reduction in pneumonia-related death was found. Although it cannot be affirmed that the sole effect is the result of running, we were unable to find any equivalent evidence for other sports.

As proposed by Gałązka-Franta et al., multiple factors are involved in the sport risk of respiratory infection.40

### Table 2 – Multivariate analysis for COVID-19 prevalence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% C.I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and Northeast</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowing/Canoeing</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triathlon</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 60y</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59y</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49y</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39y</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29y</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20y</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inter-player relationships are one of the most important. This aspect during the pandemic time may well show how athletes and sportsmen complied to social distancing.

Measuring social distancing adherence is a difficult task. Even more complex is quantifying it among athletes. Google Mobility Reports, data regarding location tracking from mobile devices, is the closest one can come to a pattern of social distancing behavior in a specific community. Analyzing the mobility trends for potential exercise locations (national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens) offers the best available information about outdoor training, and hence social distance among athletes. Figure 5 shows mobility weekly trends in those areas in the 20 Brazilian states considered in this study, as compared to two other South American countries (Chile and Argentina) and two Europeans countries (Italy and Spain), from the beginning of March to the end of April. In addition to the fact that during this period these Brazilian regions underwent a 70% reduction in mobility, this mobility reduction was considered low when compared to other countries. Therefore, it is suitable to assume that a non-negligible number of people continued to exercise outdoors.

Concerns about cyclists respiratory health caused by inhalation of fine and ultrafine particulate matter have already been raised by some studies. Strak et al. found a significant change in lung function after cycling during rush hours.

If a cyclist (or even a triathlete during cycling training) inhales a great amount of air particles, respiratory SARS-CoV2 infected droplets exhaled by another athlete in the same pack could also be inhaled. Blocken et al., in a simulated model, raised the possibility that droplets exhaled by a cyclist moving at 30km/h could travel in the air for up to 20m. All this together could explain the increased odds for COVID-19 in cyclists and triathletes when compared to runners.

In this sample, 15.8% of the swimmers were older than 60 yo vs. 4.3% from other sports (p<0.001). Positive cases of COVID-19 were 9.0% in this age group and 5.7% at <60 yo. Not only is the severity of COVID-19 higher in the elderly, but the disease prevalence is as well. This could account for the independent relationship between COVID-19 cases and swimmers.

This study has a number of limitations. First, despite the measures to improve the sample’s diversity, a true picture of the Brazilian population was not achieved. Not all of the states were represented, and their proportions did not match Brazilian demographics. As a self-report survey, we could not guarantee that all individuals could self-recognize their symptoms nor understand what a laboratory-confirmed case is. However, Brazilian health agencies have been promoting educational campaigns to improve the recognition of symptoms and interpretations of test results. Unfortunately, there was also a lack local public data to compare the rates of hospitalization. Although some similarities were found between Brazilian and New York City data (mortality, mechanical ventilation, need for ICU), we could not guarantee the same for hospital admission rates. Athletes

Figure 5 – Comparisons of mobility reduction among Brazil, Chile, Argentina, Italy, and Spain throughout March and April 2020
hospitalized at the time when this survey was ongoing may have underestimated the rate of hospitalization among athletes. Finally, the numbers of variables related to infectiousness of SARS-COV-2 are much larger than we have collected here.

Conclusion

Based in this cross-sectional analysis of athlete self-reports, rates of hospitalization among these individuals were much lower than expected. After adjustments, the COVID-19 prevalence was higher for cyclists, triathletes, and swimmers than for runners. No age, regional, or athletic level effects were found. Many social, biological, and environmental assumptions could explain these results. A great number of questions were raised by this research. In a world which claims to restore recreational and professional sports activities, answering these questions should be the aim of future studies.
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