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“It is not the strongest of the species that survives, not the most intelligent that survives. It is the one that is the most adaptable to change.” — Charles Darwin

In July 2020, Brazil has the world’s second highest Covid-19 death toll. The COVID-19 pandemic is spreading fast in America. Since the first case of COVID-19 was confirmed, it took 114 days in Brazil (February 26-June 19) and 98 days in the USA (January 21-April 29) for the number of cases to reach more than 1,000,000. Parallel to the rapid growth of COVID-19 cases, there has been a progression in the number of scientific publications. Until June 2020, more than 25,800 papers about COVID-19 were published in PubMed (17,800 open access - 69%). This volume of scientific publications is unprecedented.

In a two-month period, this article was cited in two papers and the preprint was downloaded more than 400 times. The second fast-track paper was an editorial proposing a framework to fight against fake medical news, which can even aggravate the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. Many articles on COVID-19 are about to be published in the IJCS. What is the impact of this acceleration in the publication process? Horbach studied the duration of publication process in medical journals and found that, compared to prior pandemic, turnaround times have decreased on average by 49% during the pandemic, and publication process became nearly twice as fast for Covid-19 related articles.

Peer review is essential in science and editors must assure scientific rigor in methodological issues and solid statistical analyses. Scientific misconduct (fabrication,
falsification, and plagiarism) is directly related to the urge to publish more and has affected prestigious medical journals since the beginning of the pandemic. The New England Journal of Medicine and The Lancet are among the oldest, most respected and most influential medical journals in the world. Both journals had important COVID-19 papers retracted due to data fabrication. Most of the time, reviewers do not examine the raw data of the studies they review. One of the multiple benefits of Open Science is that research data can be checked by anyone who accesses the data repository, thereby reducing the likelihood of scientific misconduct.

What is the role of cardiology journals during COVID-19 pandemic? First, they must adapt to the urgent needs of fast peer review and editorial evaluation. Second, cardiology journals must ensure scientific rigor and research integrity. Third, they must focus on the cardiac aspects of COVID-19 because the cardiology community needs reliable resources of specific information related to their practice, such as the influence of previous heart conditions, safe cardiological practices, cardiac effects of COVID-19 therapy, typical cardiac manifestations of COVID-19, the effects of quarantine on the cardiovascular system and many other emerging issues. Fourth, and finally, non-COVID-19 cardiac research must not be forgotten. All cardiac diseases and their consequences still exist, and many unmet needs of non-COVID-19 cardiac diseases must be pursued. Science must provide answers for these and many other questions. Cardiology journals must accomplish their mission and provide their readers with comprehensive knowledge on cardiovascular sciences in the best way possible.
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